

Drain: ELWOOD WILSON Drain #: 126
Improvement/Arm: ELIAS CASCHIO
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON Date: 8-30-07
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural Year Installed: 1894

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ
JWJ

**Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost
Drain Length Log**

Drain-Improvement: ELWOOD WILSON - ELIAS GABETTO

Drain Type:	Size:	Length	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
OPEN DITCH	—	4968'	4335'	-633'	19. ²⁵ / ₁₀₀	84,749. ²⁵

Sum: 4968' 4335' -633' \$84,749.²⁵

Final Report: _____

Comments:

FINAL 633' SAME AS STA 0-6+33 OF ELWOOD WILSON (1891).

Commissioners' Court.

In the Matter of the
Viemers Report of
Elias Gascho Drain



Drains issued for final Report Oct 13 (92)
issued Oct 3 1894
Viemers Report

To the Honorable Board of Commissioners Hamilton County Indiana
We the undersigned appointed by this Court at its June Term 1894 to visit
a Drain described in the Certified Copy of a Petition and order of Court for
a Ditch by Elias Gascho and others handed us by the Auditor would
represent that according to said order on the day named therein to wit
the 16 day of July 1894 in Company with J. S. Gayner a Civil Engineer made
an accurate Survey of the line of said proposed Ditch from its source
to its outlet a plat of said Ditch is filed herewith including the
Survey by the Engineer marked Exhibit A. We caused stakes to set along
said line each one hundred feet numbering down stream as shown by
plat We also made a Computation of the total number of Cubic Yards
of earth to be removed from said drain and estimated the total cost
for the construction of the work at 234⁶⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars We also apportioned
and set apart to each parcel of land a share of said work in
proportion to the benefits received all of which will fully by a
tabular statement in this report. We have also set out a statement
below the names of the owners of lands benefited with a description
of each tract of land and the amount assessed against each
tract of land The depth of cut width at bottom and the width
at top along said Drain is also shown in a tabular statement
in this report after a full and Careful View we are of the
opinion that the Drain as located by the survey will be of
Public utility and benefit to the Health and the cost will
not exceed the benefits derived therefrom and should
be an open Ditch located as follows

The following statement shows who are benefited the
lands thereof and assessments thereon Location
length of each share amount of assessment
Amount of Benefits Amount of dirt to be removed from
each share price thereof per cubic yard and total cost
of each share

Name of Owners	Description of Lands	Section	Subdiv	Range	Acres	Assess	Acres	Assess	Acres	Assess	Acres	Assess	Acres	Assess	Acres	Assess	Acres	Assess
Baker A B	SW NE	29	19	5	40	40	2	80	2	80	0	21	21	13	15			
Brawner Horace	SE SW	33	19	5	40	40	2	80										
- Same	NE SW	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	SE SW	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	NE NW	"	"	"	40	40	2	80	11	20	21	48	87	57				7
Craig Samuel	NE NE	32	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	NW NE	"	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	SE NE	"	"	"	40	"	2	80	8	40	48	2	92	40				5
Carey J B	NE NW	32	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	SW NE	"	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	NW NW	"	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	E 1/2 SE NW	"	"	"	40	"	2	80	9	80	15	70	57	117	45			6
County Farm	SE NE	31	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	NE NE	"	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	SW NW	32	"	"	40	"	2	80										
Same	W 1/2 SE NW	"	"	"	20	"	1	40	9	80	16	57	76	103	45			6
Gascho Elias	NW NW	5	18	5	40	40	150	00										
Same	NE NW	"	"	"	40	"	4	20										
Same	N 1/2 N 1/2 SE NW	"	"	"	10	"	1	00	153	25	2	15	340	370				107
Gascho Henry (Etel)	SE SE	31	19	5	40	40	2	80										
Same	NE SE	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	NW NE	5	18	5	40	40	2	80										
Same	SE SW	32	19	5	40	40	2	80										
Same	NE SW	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	S 1/4 SW SE	"	"	"	11	11	77	14	77	17	20	19	73	153	67			10
Gascho Tobias	NW SW SE	"	"	"	29	29	2	03										
Same	NW SE	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	SE SE	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	NE SE	"	"	"	40	40	2	80	10	43	19	20	22	109	48			7
Gascho Margaret	SE SW	29	18	5	40	40	2	80										
Same	NE SW	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	W 1/2 NW SE	"	"	"	40	40	2	80	8	40	20	21	22	85	37			5
Gascho J B	SW SW	32	"	"	40	40	20	00										
Same	NW SW	"	"	"	40	40	20	00	40	00	21	26	28	52	184			37
Granger Malinda	SW SW	33	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	NW SW	"	"	"	40	40	2	80										
Same	Pl NW NW	4	18	5	4	4	28	5	88	26	28	28	23	138	27			40

Commissioners' Court.

Owner's Names	Description of Lands	Section	Township	Range	Acres Allowed	Acres Brought	Amount	of	Benefits	Amt of	Payment	from	Station	to	Station	of	Long	from	to	Station	Price	Cost of	Construction
Henry Chris	E 2W2 SE	29	19	5	40	40	2 80																
Same	SE SE	"	"	"	40	40	2 80																
Same	NE SE	"	"	"	40	40	2 80	8 40		28	30	23	28	205	35	45						5 80	
Hushaw Heins	SW NE	33	"	"	40	40	2 80																
Same	NW NE	"	"	"	40	40	2 80	5 60		30	31	28	63	133	26								3 87
Same																							
Hammond William	N 2 SW NW	33	"	"	20	20	1 40	1 40		31	31	63	77	34	6								97
Hamble Anthony	SW NW	33	"	"	40	40	2 80	2 80		31	32	97	64	13									1 93
Mallery Calvin	SW NW	34	"	"	40	40	2 80	2 80		32	33	64	40	76	13								1 93
Mallery Melissa	SW NE	33	"	"	40	40	2 80	2 80		33	34	40	20	80	13								1 93
Roundbush Jessie	SE NE	29	"	"	4	4	28																
- - - -	SE NW	28	"	"	4	4	28																
- - - -	SE SW	"	"	"	18	18	1 26	1 82		34	34	20	70	50	8								1 36
Smeltzer Mass	E 1/2 NW SW	28	"	"	25	25	1 75																
Same	SW SW	"	"	"	25	25	1 75	3 50		34	35	70	82	112	16								3 42
Richwine Ahe	SE NW	29	"	"	15	15	1 05	1 05		35	36	82	15	33	5								70
Roundbush Horse	SE SW	27	"	"	18	18	1 26	1 26		36	36	75	60	45	6								97
Saffer Jacob	NW SW	29	"	"	40	40	2 80																
- - - -	SW SW	"	"	"	40	40	2 80																
- - - -	E 1/2 E 1/2 SE	30	"	"	40	40	2 80	8 40		36	39	60	40	280	39								5 80
Stearns Jacob	SW SE	28	"	"	40	40	2 80																
Same	NW SE	"	"	"	40	40	2 80	5 60		39	40	40	25	185	25								3 87
Stearns Jessie	SE SE	"	"	"	40	40	2 80																
Same	NE SE	"	"	"	40	40	2 80	5 60		41	43	25	25	200	25								3 86
Stubbs S W	NE NE	33	"	"	40	40	2 80																
Same	NW NW	34	"	"	40	40	2 80	5 60		43	45	25	35	210	25								3 86
Tice John	SW NW	33	"	"	20	20	1 40	1 40		45	46	35	46	65	6								97
Wheeler P S	NE NE	5	18	"	28	28	1 96																
Same	N 1/2 SE NE	"	"	"	22	22	1 54	3 40		46	48	77	77	217	24								2 35
Wheeler J H	SW SE	33	19	"	39	39	2 73	2 73		48	49	77	68	153	12								1 87

Beginning at a point 37 rods east of the North West Corner of the North West Quarter of Sec 5 Town 18 North Range line (5) east thence S 7 1/2° West 600 feet thence west 550 feet thence N 70° W, 450 feet thence N 43° West 250 feet thence N 80° W 350 feet thence N 50° W 200 feet thence West 200 feet thence S 40° W 800 feet thence S 200 feet thence west 200 feet thence South 100 feet thence west 200 feet thence S 38° W 350 feet thence South 64 West 250 feet thence West 268 feet and terminating about 20 rods South and 19 rods West

of the Northeast Corner of the South east Quarter
 of the Northwest Quarter of Section 16 Township 18 N of Range
 five (5) East.

The length of the ditch as located is forty nine hundred
 and sixty eight feet (4968 feet)

The fall of Established grade is feet from

Stake	to	13	19/100 to 100 feet
---	---	---	12/100 " " "
---	---	38	49x68 23/100 " "

The total fall is 8 ³⁵/₁₀₀ feet

Hamilton Metzger
 N S Cheno Viewers

James M. Hayward

Station	depth cut	width of ditch	width of bottom	width of top	Price per foot	Cost of station	Station	depth cut	width of ditch	width of bottom	width of top	Price per foot	Cost of station	
0	480	950	18		15		25	584	1318	18		33	15	4.95
B/M 410 Willow														
1	276	702	18	61	15	9	15	26	552	1252	18	23	15	3.45
2	265	680		42		6	30	27	492	1134	18	23		3.45
3	231	612		37		5	55	28	529	1209		19		2.85
4	238	726		33		4	95	29	483	1116		19		2.85
5	237	624		34		5	10	30	533	1216		19		2.85
6	271	692		38		5	70	31	415	980		19		2.85
7	300	750		46		6	90	32	579	1248		19		2.85
8	339	828		56		8	40	33	477	1104		19		2.85
9	325	806		60		9	00	34	454	1058		16		2.40
10	308	766		55		8	25	35	525	1200		14		2.40
11	447	1044		39		5	85	36	248	646		14		2.10
B/M 711 NW Cor Bridge & Wall														
12	390	930		39		5	85	37	375	900		14		2.10
13	402	954		39		5	85	38	308	756		14		2.10
14	402	954		33		4	95	39	362	874		14		2.10
15	475	1100		33		4	95	40	310	770		14		2.10
16	421	1012		37		5	55	41	323	796		14		2.10
17	682	1514		40		6	00	42	411	972		13		1.75
18	632	1414		46		6	90	43	414	978		13		1.95
19	611	1372		44		6	60	44	402	954		12		1.80
20	645	1440		44		6	60	45	442	1034		12		1.80
21	683	1516		44		6	60	46	487	1124		14		2.10
22	625	1400		40		6	00	47	409	968		14		2.10
23	664	1478		40		6	00	48	339	828		8		7.20
24	646	1442		33		4	95	49	359	868		8		1.20
49x68 grade 90														

The Board having Examined the Report of
 Viewers and there being no Remonstrance filed
 The Board being sufficiently advised in the
 Premises do Approve the Report and Order
 the same Condemned according to Statute
 governing the same